ORIGINAL



## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONE CEIVED

2002 SEP 26 A 11: 27

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Chairman
JIM IRVIN
Commissioner
MARC SPITZER
Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

SEP 2 6 2002



TROY AND TRACY DENTON, et al.,

Complainants,

VS.

QWEST CORPORATION,

Respondents.

Docket No. T-01051B-02-0535 (Consolidated)

QWEST CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO STAFF'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION

Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") hereby responds to the Arizona Corporation Commission Utility Division Staff's ("Staff') September 19, 2002¹ Motion for an Extension to file its Reply to Qwest's consolidated answer. Pursuant to the August 21, 2002 Procedural Order ("Order") in the above-captioned docket, a hearing has been scheduled for **November 4, 2002**. Also pursuant to the Order, Qwest filed a consolidate answer to all complaints on August 26, 2002. Both Staff and Complainants were given until September 27, 2002 to file a Reply to Qwest's answer. On September 17, 2002, Complainants filed their Reply. Staff is now requesting 30 days from September 27, 2002 to file its Reply. If granted, Qwest would not receive Staff's Reply and presumable its position on the complaints and the issue of mandating telecommunication services outside a providers service territory until **October 28, one week prior to hearing**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although Staff filed its motion on September 19, Qwest did not receive a copy until September 24 since it was not included on Staff's service list. Staff alerted Qwest to its intent to file a motion for an extension. After not receiving such a motion several days later, Qwest obtained copies directly from Docket Control. Attached as Exhibit A is Qwest's Notice of Appearance.

Although Replies to answers are generally not part of Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") or Court proceedings, the Commission has determined that a Reply is appropriate. As such, Qwest does not object to Staff's request but asks the Commission should it grant the extension to also extend the time for hearing so that all parties may propound the necessary discovery and adequately prepare for trial. The extension for hearing is essential since Staff's Reply may cause Qwest or Complainants to conduct additional and necessary discovery based on the information provided therein. Objections may be made; motions to compel required; depositions taken; and additional witnesses interviewed. All parties should be given the opportunity for these proper and reasonable pre-hearing procedures. The opportunity to Reply invites such discovery, and it is not reasonable or fair to require parties to act and prepare in only one week prior to hearing. Additionally, the number, complexity and importance of the issues raised in relation to the actual Complaints has already required a significant amount of discovery, which is currently underway. Qwest anticipates that discovery will continue throughout the next thirty days regardless of Staff's need for time to Reply. Thus, Qwest's request for a continuance is reasonable under the circumstances.

THEREFORE, Qwest respectfully requests that the November 4, 2002 hearing be continued for the same period of time that Staff is granted for filing its Reply. Alternatively, if the Commission is inclined to grant Staff's request but does not grant Qwest's analogous request to extend the hearing, Qwest objects to the request and asks the Commission to limit Staff's extension to ten days in order for all parties to continue proper discovery consistent with the Commission's intent to open the discovery process by allowing such Replies. Qwest's request is not meant to unduly delay these proceedings and is reasonable in light of Staff's motion.

DATED this 26 day of September, 2002.

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

Timothy Rev

Theresa Dwyer

Darcy Renfro

3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600

Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

Attorneys for Qwest Communications Corporation

An original and 10 copies of the foregoing was delivered this 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2002, to:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY hand-delivered this 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2002, to:

Christopher Kempley Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Philip J. Dion III Administrative Law Judge Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

David M. Ronald Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 A copy of the foregoing was mailed this 26th day of September, 2002, to:

Troy and Tracy Denton PO Box 26343 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Bryant and April Peters PO Box 27302 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

John J. and Patricia J. Martin PO Box 25428 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Arnold and Tammy Fatheree PO Box 26268 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Tommy L. White PO Box 27951 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Sandra Rodr PO Box 25996 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Kirk and Bobbi Limburg PO Box 27683 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

Susan Bernstein 7835 East Memory Lane Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

## EXHBIT A

## RECEIVED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Chairman
JIM IRVIN
Commissioner
MARC SPITZER
Commissioner

2002 AUG -2 P 3: 43

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

TROY & TRACY DENTON	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0535
V.	·
QWEST CORPORATION	
APRIL & BRYANT PETERS	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0519
V.	
QWEST CORPORATION	
JOHN J. AND PATRICIA J. MARTIN	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0518
V.	
QWEST CORPORATION	·
SUSAN BERNSTEIN	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0517
V.	
QWEST CORPORATION	
TOMMY L. WHITE	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0516
V.	Bookerite. I ofosib ob osto
QWEST CORPORATION	
SANDRA RODR	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0515
V.	DOCKCE 140. 1-01031B-02 0313
QWEST CORPORATION	
	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0514
KIRK & BOBBI LIMBURG,	Docket No. 1-01031B-02-0314
V. QWEST CORPORATION	
ARNOLD & TAMARA FATHEREE	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0513
V.	
QWEST CORPORATION	
ERNIE & SHERRY THOMPSON	Docket No. T-01051B-02-0512
V.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE
QWEST CORPORATION	

Fennemore Craig, P.C. (Timothy Berg, Theresa Dwyer and Darcy Renfro) hereby enters 1 2 its appearance in the above-captioned dockets on behalf of defendant Qwest Communications Corporation ("Owest"). The undersigned wishes to receive copies of all documents filed in this 3 4 matter. day of August, 2002. DATED this 5 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 6 7 8 heresa Dwyer 9 Darcy Renfro 3003 N. Central Avenue **Suite 2600** 10 Phoenix, AZ 85012 11 Telephone: (602) 916-5000 Attorneys for Owest Corporation 12 An original and to copies 13 of the foregoing was delivered this day of August, 2002, to: 14 15 **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 COPY delivered this day of 17 18 Christopher Kempley Legal Division 19 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 21 Phil Dion Hearing Division 22 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 23 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 24 25 26

FENNEMORE CRAIG

1	Ernest Johnson
2	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
3	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	COPY mailed this 200 day of 200., 2002 to:
5	Ernie and Sherry Thompson PO Box 27016
6	Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
7	Susan Bernstein 7835 East Memory Lane
8	Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
9	Troy and Tracy Denton PO Box 26343
10	Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
11	Arnold and Tamara Fatheree
12	7175 E. Stardust Lane Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
13	Kirk and Bobbi Limburg
14	7125 E. Stardust Lane Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
15	John and Patricia Martin
16	PO Box 25428 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
17	Bryant and April Peters PO Box 27302
18	Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
19	Sandra Rodr
20	PO Box 26995 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
21	Tommy L. White PO Box 27951
22	Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
23	Cha and
24	By: lan & Voga
25	

FENNEMORE CRAIG
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX

26

1327204.1/67817.307